

Global Watch Weekly Report

A Weekly Global Watch Media Publication (www.globalwatchweekly.com)


June 12th, 2015

TRUTH: THE NEW CRIME

**DURING TIMES OF
UNIVERSAL DECEIT,
TELLING THE TRUTH
BECOMES A
REVOLUTIONARY ACT.**

- George Orwell

Global Watch Weekly Report



“The Number one weekly report which provides concrete evidence of a New World Order & One World Government agenda”

www.globalwatchweekly.com

This is a FREE report. Please pass this on to others who you may feel would benefit from this information. Web site owners please feel free to give this away to your site visitors or email lists

Not yet on our mailing list? Then visit the web site link below and sign up to ensure you don't miss out on these free weekly reports

www.globalwatchweekly.com

Global Watch Weekly Report

Welcome to the Global Watch Weekly Report

Dear Global Watch Weekly Member

In September 2014, British prime minister, David Cameron, stood up in front of the United Nations and stated,

“That Prophecies of a Global War of religion pitting Muslims against the rest of the world, these things are nonsense”

Such a statement immediately sends a direct signal to bible prophecy students who believe that according to the prophecies of Ezekiel 38 and Psalms 89 that there will be a coming war involving the Muslim nations. The statement also sends a direct signal to those who also believe in a masonic blueprint for one world government which would immediately follow a third world war between Islam and the west according to the writings of Albert Pike.

Regardless of your views on 9/11 or the future of Islam, the following information in this edition of the global watch weekly is clear evidence that our rights to free and independent thought are being sacrificed on the altar of security.

Today, your questioning of the official 9/11 report may identify you as a potential sleeper agent and member of a domestic terror organization. What will tomorrow bring?

If recent history is any guide, criticism of official government policy, statistics and anti-Statist ideologies will deem you, your family, friends and social network communities as threats to national security.

Day-by-day, without pause or so much as a peep from the majority of our countrymen, the very fabric of American greatness is being eroded.

Enjoy

Rema Marketing Team

TRUTH: THE NEW CRIME

TERRORISM LINK TO TRUTHERS

A new government report revealing a list of books allegedly seized by US special forces when they raided Osama bin Laden's compound and killed him in 2011 is "ludicrous" and a "complete fabrication," an American scholar says.



The CIA is trying to create a connection between so-called conspiracy theorists who are in fact critics of the US government and Bin Laden, said James Henry Fetzer, a retired professor in Madison, Wisconsin.

The US government labels its critics as conspiracy theorists in order to dismiss them in the eyes of the public, Fetzer told Press TV.

Independent analysts, dubbed by the mainstream US media as conspiracy theorists, have always maintained that the September 11, 2001 attacks have been covered-up by the FBI and senior US officials because it was the "mother of all false flag" operations.

"The fact of the matter is [that] Osama had nothing to do with 9/11," Fetzer said. "This is another desperate attempt by the government to suppress information about 9/11, about Osama bin Laden and about US complicity in the events of 9/11, all of which is attempting to do with this single, simply completely ridiculous story about Osama bin Laden reading list which is a complete fabrication."

The Office of the Director of National Intelligence has released an extensive list of materials what they said were collected by US Navy SEALs during the 2011 raid that supposedly killed Bin Laden.

The agency, which oversees US intelligence agencies, unveiled the contents of Bin Laden's library from his compound at Abbottabad, Pakistan, in an effort to increase "transparency."

Washington announced on May 2, 2011 that Bin Laden was killed in his compound in Abbottabad, Pakistan, by US special forces and CIA operatives.

Osama was the founder of al-Qaeda, the terrorist organization that allegedly claimed responsibility for the September 11, 2001 attacks on the United States. The September 11 attacks, also known as the 9/11 attacks, were a series of strikes in the US which killed nearly 3,000 people and caused about \$10 billion worth of property and infrastructure damage.

This list of English-language books can almost be dubbed 'conspiracy for beginners' with titles such as Fritz Springmeier's "*Bloodlines of the Illuminati*", John Coleman's "*The Committee of 300?*", the 1977 MKULTRA hearings and Manly P. Hall's "*Secret Teachings of All Ages*". Bin Laden apparently also enjoyed reading about US Foreign policy and 9/11 conspiracy theories.

Here's the full list of books as reported by BBC.



The 2030 Spike by Colin Mason

A Brief Guide to Understanding Islam by IA Ibrahim

America's Strategic Blunders by Willard Matthias

America's "War on Terrorism" by Michel Chossudovsky

Al-Qaeda's Online Media Strategies: From Abu Reuter to Irhabi 007 by Hanna Rogan

The Best Democracy Money Can Buy by Greg Palast

The Best Enemy Money Can Buy by Anthony Sutton

Black Box Voting, Ballot Tampering in the 21st Century by Bev Harris

Bloodlines of the Illuminati by Fritz Springmeier

TRUTH: THE NEW CRIME

Bounding the Global War on Terror by Jeffrey Record

Checking Iran's Nuclear Ambitions by Henry Sokolski and Patrick Clawson

Christianity and Islam in Spain 756-1031 A.D. by CR Haines

Civil Democratic Islam: Partners, Resources, and Strategies by Cheryl Benard

Confessions of an Economic Hit Man by John Perkins

Conspirators' Hierarchy: The Committee of 300 by John Coleman

Crossing the Rubicon by Michael Ruppert

Fortifying Pakistan: The Role of U.S. Internal Security Assistance (only the book's introduction) by C Christine Fair and Peter Chalk

Guerrilla Air Defense: Antiaircraft Weapons and Techniques for Guerrilla Forces by James Crabtree

Handbook of International Law by Anthony Aust

Hegemony or Survival: America's Quest for Global Dominance by Noam Chomsky

Imperial Hubris by Michael Scheuer

In Pursuit of Allah's Pleasure by Asim Abdul Maajid, Esaam Ud-Deen and Naahah Ibrahim

Killing Hope: U.S. Military and CIA Interventions since World War II by William Blum

Military Intelligence Blunders by John Hughes-Wilson

Project MKULTRA, the CIA's program of research in behavioral modification. Joint hearing before the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Subcommittee on Health and Scientific Research of the Committee on Human Resources, United States Senate, Ninety-fifth Congress, first session, August 3, 1977. United States Congress. Senate. Select Committee on Intelligence.

Necessary Illusions: Thought Control in Democratic Societies by Noam Chomsky

New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11 by David Ray Griffin

New Political Religions, or Analysis of Modern Terrorism by Barry Cooper

Obama's Wars by Bob Woodward

Oxford History of Modern War by Charles Townsend

The Rise and Fall of the Great Powers by Paul Kennedy

Rogue State: A Guide to the World's Only Superpower by William Blum

The Secret Teachings of All Ages by Manly Hall (1928)


Secrets of the Federal Reserve by Eustace Mullins

The Taking of America 1-2-3 by Richard Sprague

Unfinished Business, U.S. Overseas Military Presence in the 21st Century by Michael O'Hanlon

The U.S. and Vietnam 1787-1941 by Robert Hopkins Miller

Website Claims Steve Jackson Games Foretold 9/11, article posted on ICV2.com (this file contained only a single saved web page)



After the release of this information, mass media newspapers (such as The Guardian) jumped on the story to ridicule “truthers” and to slyly associate them with terrorists.

“Obsessed with plots to ruin America, terrified of bugs implanted in tooth fillings or women’s clothing, and secluded away from even his confidants, Osama bin Laden may not have been in the most healthy frame of mind during his years in hiding. It should perhaps come as no surprise, then, that his personal library included conspiracy theories about the occult, the Illuminati – and even 9/11.”

– The Guardian, 9/11 truthers (naturally) wary of Osama bin Laden’s conspiracy theory obsession

In the above article, The Guardian goes as far as shaming David Ray Griffin, the author of *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions About the Bush Administration and 9/11?* because Bin Laden apparently owned that book.

The Guardian does not seem to know that US intelligence and the CIA are not exactly about journalistic accuracy. They are about propaganda and information wars. When they release “information”, it is not because they believe this information is “interesting to know”, it is because it serves a specific purpose. This entire “book” story seems completely fabricated to serve a

TRUTH: THE NEW CRIME

specific purpose. Why even release this information? Is it because it serves the on-going Agenda of vilify “truth seekers” and to associate them with terrorism? To make things even more convenient, there are no physical copies of these books – Bin Laden apparently preferred PDF e-books.

The timing of the release of this information is also strange. Why come up with this story more than four years after the death announcement? This story (along with one claiming that Bin Laden was planning another major attack) was made public only days after the release of Seymour M. Hersh’s “*The Killing of Bin Laden*”.



In his 10,000-word article, the Pulitzer Prize winner described how the entire story behind the killing of Bin Laden was falsified by the CIA.

Hersh even points out in his article that there was no “treasure trove of information” retrieved from Bin Laden’s compound.

“Despite all the talk,’ the retired official continued, there were ‘no garbage bags full of computers and storage devices. The guys just stuffed some books and papers they found in his room in their backpacks. The Seals weren’t there because they thought bin Laden was running a command centre for al-Qaida operations, as the White House would later tell the media. And they were not intelligence experts gathering information inside that house.’

Five days after the raid the Pentagon press corps was provided with a series of videotapes that were said by US officials to have been taken from a large collection the Seals had removed from the compound, along with as many as 15 computers. Snippets from one of the videos showed a solitary bin Laden looking wan and wrapped in a blanket, watching what appeared to be a video of himself on television. An unnamed official told reporters that the raid produced a ‘treasure trove ... the single largest collection of senior terrorist materials ever’, which would provide vital insights into al-Qaida’s plans. The official said the material showed that bin Laden ‘remained an active leader in al-Qaida, providing strategic, operational and tactical

instructions to the group ... He was far from a figurehead [and]continued to direct even tactical details of the group’s management and to encourage plotting’ from what was described as a command-and-control centre in Abbottabad. ‘He was an active player, making the recent operation even more essential for our nation’s security,’ the official said. The information was so vital, he added, that the administration was setting up an inter-agency task force to process it: ‘He was not simply someone who was penning al-Qaida strategy. He was throwing operational ideas out there and he was also specifically directing other

al-Qaida members.’

These claims were fabrications: there wasn’t much activity for bin Laden to exercise command and control over. The retired intelligence official said that the CIA’s internal reporting shows that since bin Laden moved to Abbottabad in 2006 only a handful of terrorist attacks could be linked to the remnants of bin Laden’s al-Qaida. ‘We were told at first,’ the retired official said, ‘that the Seals produced garbage bags of stuff and that the community is generating daily intelligence reports out of this stuff. And then we were told that the community is gathering everything together and needs to translate it. But nothing has come of it. Every single thing they have created turns out not to be true. It’s a great hoax – like the Piltown man.’ The retired official said that most of the materials from Abbottabad were turned over to the US by the Pakistanis, who later razed the building. The ISI took responsibility for the wives and children of bin Laden, none of whom was made available to the US for questioning.

‘Why create the treasure trove story?’ the retired official said. ‘The White House had to give the impression that bin Laden was still operationally important. Otherwise, why kill him? A cover story was created – that there was a network of couriers coming and going with memory sticks and instructions. All to show that bin Laden remained important.’ Seymour M. Hersh, *The Killing of Osama Bin Laden*

People often forget the fact that Bin Laden was an ex-CIA operative who was used to advance the US agenda in Afghanistan against the USSR in the 1980s. He was used again (as a boogeyman) to advance the US agenda in Afghanistan from 2001 to 2011.

When one considers that Bin Laden was a pawn in an international game of chess, that he might have died long before the “announced’ symbolic date of May 1st 2011 (read my article about it here) and that 9/11 was an inside job, then the truth about this “book story” becomes evident: It is a rather heavy-handed attempt to associate “truthers”, “conspiracy theorists” and anyone who questions mass media with terrorism.

TRUTH: THE NEW CRIME

OPEN LETTER TO DAVID CAMERON

The association of the truth movement as a threat to security is something which David Cameron referenced in a speech to the United Nations in September 2014. He said,



“non violent extremism” is as dangerous as violent terrorism, and must be eradicated using every weapon at any government’s disposal.”

This could be considered a declaration of war upon anyone representing ideas that are inherently against the philosophy of government, power structures, centralized power and currency. This should make you sick to your stomach.

This representative of death and wars of aggression even went as far as to reference 9/11 truthers. He basically said he wished to ‘eradicate’ 9/11 truthers, while acknowledging that these tactics would clearly be in violation of freedom of speech. That includes the family members of actual victims of 9/11, who want a real investigation. That includes so many good, honest, hard working people. That number includes over 2,000 architects and engineers that want an independent investigation into 9/11.

This speech from Cameron triggered a response from Peter Drew, a UK Facilitator for Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth who wrote an open letter to the british prime minister. The letter read as follows

Dear Mr Cameron

I write this open letter to you in response to your recent speech at the United Nations calling for military intervention in Iraq and Syria over the threat of ISIL. In particular I would like to make mention of your reference to the so

called threat to society of what you have termed ‘non-violent extremists’, including those who are attempting to bring forward information and evidence about 9/11 which contradicts the official version of events.

Putting aside the direct issue of ISIL for a moment, I find this position on 9/11 evidence to be quite incredible. It is a position that is either extremely ignorant, or it is a position that goes against freedom and democracy in British society to such an extent that it is scarcely believable. Huge numbers of extremely credible and professional people across the world are now bringing forward incontrovertible facts and evidence showing us that the events of 9/11 have been systematically covered up, and that the public has been deceived and manipulated on this issue at a quite incredible level. Just like the public was deceived and manipulated about weapons of mass destruction in Iraq.

While you are labelling these people who bring this evidence forward about 9/11 as ‘non-violent extremists’, are you aware of what is currently happening in New York City regarding 9/11?

Are you aware that more than 100,000 New York residents have just signed the petition calling for a new investigation into the collapse of World Trade Centre Building 7 through the ‘High Rise Safety Initiative’?



Are you aware that through the fundraising efforts of public groups in the US, there is currently a massive digital screen in the centre of Times Square showing rolling video footage of the controlled demolition of World Trade Centre Building 7 to three million New Yorkers? This is footage of a collapse of a massive 47 story building (not hit by a plane) that most people have not even been aware of or seen before now. How can this level of information cover-up be possible in this day and age?

Are you aware that many members of US Congress are now demanding that President Obama release the 28 redacted pages of the 9/11 Commission Report because there is information in those pages that will shock the nation, according to the two members of Congress who have been authorised to view the pages?

But yet you have just stated to the world that you consider members of the public to be ‘non-violent extremists’ and a part of the ISIL challenge if they merely wish that these facts, evidence, and information about 9/11 be made available to the wider public and that appropriate investigations are held. I repeat my previous point. To make that statement to the world as you did, you are either extremely ignorant about this issue, or you are attempting to take a position which is so at odds with a decent, free society that it beggars belief.

TRUTH: THE NEW CRIME

Dozens of first responder fire fighters who risked their lives on 9/11 and who lost 343 of their colleagues that day, including those who formed the organisation 'Fire Fighters for 9/11 Truth'

More than 2,200 professional architects, engineers, and demolition experts from the organisation 'Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth'

Norman Minneta – US Secretary of Transport during 9/11 who had his formal testimony to the 9/11 investigation panel stricken from the record

Richard Clarke – US Head of Counter Terrorism during 9/11

Numerous family members of the victims of 9/11



The above list is just a very quick start, but gives a feel for the type of people who you are now labelling as 'non-violent extremists' and a part of the battle against ISIL because of their views about 9/11 or the evidence they are bringing forward. According to your speech to the United Nations, we now need to bring in legislation that will be able to shut down internet sites that bring forward the information and the evidence that the people listed above have been trying to highlight for investigation. That to me sounds like extremist behaviour. In fact, that sounds to me like the words of someone who is supporting an attempted cover up of monumental proportions.

It seems that everyone now acknowledges that we were deceived and manipulated on the issue of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq in order to take us to war. It also looks like we have been deceived and manipulated on a grand scale regarding the true facts about 9/11. So, on this basis, why should you or anyone else believe one word about what the United States is saying about the threat of ISIL?

You have already attempted to take the UK to war in Syria on the basis of alleged evidence against the Assad government that has since proven to be inconclusive at best. Now just a few months later you are once again attempting to take the UK to war with Syria, this time because you now have conclusive evidence of a new and different threat. Meanwhile, you consider anyone who holds views about 9/11 that are contrary to the official story to be 'non-violent extremists'.

Putting aside the direct issue of ISIL, which seems to be clouded in uncertainties in terms of exactly who they are,

who and how they have been created and supported, and what their wider threat is to the world, I find your comments at the United Nations about the other aspects of this issue to be quite incredible.

9/11 is the event that launched the so called global war on terror and military action in the Middle East. It is now incontrovertible that we have been deceived and manipulated on a large scale about the true facts of 9/11. Getting the true facts about 9/11 runs right to the heart of all the issues we currently see in the Middle East and the so called war on terror. For you to label ordinary, caring, and patriotic members of the public as 'non-violent extremists' simply for asking these questions about 9/11 and bringing forward this evidence, and to state that these types of internet sites should be censored, then I have to say that it is you who are the extremist, in the extreme.

The truth facts and evidence about 9/11 are now coming forward and there is a tidal wave of growing awareness as people are now getting to see this information, as shown by what is happening in New York City as we speak. It cannot be covered up by any crude efforts by the UK government to censor the internet or to give these people an extremist label. It is far too late for that. For anyone in office to continue to support the attempted suppression of this information will simply result in them being positioned on the wrong side of history.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Drew – MSc

UK Facilitator – Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth

THE TRUTH: THE NEW CRIME

Did you know that the FBI, in conjunction with the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Justice, had previously sent out 25 flyers that label normal everyday activities as possible terrorist indicators. These flyers also specifically target political speech and the belief that the CIA and others had a part in 9/11 in order to justify, among other things, multiple foreign wars.

That's right, our government is teaching state and local law enforcement nationwide that 9/11 truthers should be immediately looked at as possible terrorists. In an FBI, Bureau of Justice document on spotting potential sleeper cells within the United States it specifically states that someone may be a terrorist sleeper agent if they believe that the CIA had a hand in 9/11.

Such a scenario would not only implicate "truthers" but also Christian groups which teach "non watered down" bible prophecy.