


Global Watch Weekly Report


A Weekly Global Watch Media Publication (www.globalreport2010.com)

November 23rd, 2012

FOOL ME TWICE



Global Watch Weekly Report



“The Number one weekly report which provides concrete evidence of a New World Order & One World Government agenda”

www.globalreport2010.com

This is a FREE report. Please pass this on to others who you may feel would benefit from this information. Web site owners please feel free to give this away to your site visitors or email lists

Not yet on our mailing list? Then visit the web site link below and sign up to ensure you don't miss out on these free weekly reports

www.globalreport2010.com

Global Watch Weekly Report

Welcome to the Global Watch Weekly Report

The recent US presidential election caused one of the most significant paradoxes for the evangelical Christian community across the United States. On one side stood Barack Obama, a liberal president who gives no special recognition for Christianity, who has a much less favourable stance towards Israel than previous presidents, who has great regard for the Muslim faith and who publicly endorses “same sex” marriages. On the other side of the divide stood Mitt Romney a Mormon. Up until the election the evangelical Christian community had for decades regarded the Mormon faith and Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter Day Saints as a Christian Cult, yet all of a sudden Christians were talking about what they had in common with Mitt Romney such as the importance of the family, the non support of abortion etc. Its interesting how changing circumstances causes alignments to shift and change.

However, the ultimate end to the story is that Barack Obama is president of the United States for another four years. Amazingly he was able to achieve victory despite the biting recession and the erosion of his “change” mantra from 2008.



What does it mean for Obama still being at the helm? Is there any significance? In this edition of the Global Watch Weekly we spend some time focusing on what Obama's re-election means for the future of the United States and the future of the American people.

www.globalreport2010.com

THE RETURN OF BARACK OBAMA



In the first term of his presidency Barack Obama signed 923 Executive Orders in just under three and a half years.

Some of these Executive Orders include the following:

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 10990 allows the government to take over all modes of transportation and control of highways and seaports.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 10995 allows the government to seize and control the communication media.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 10997 allows the government to take over all electrical power, gas, petroleum, fuels and minerals.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 10998 allows the government to take over all food resources and farms.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 11000 allows the government to mobilize civilians into work brigades under government supervision.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 11001 allows the government to take over all health, education and welfare functions.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 11002 designates thereof all persons. Postmaster General to operate a national registration.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 11003 allows the government to take over all airports and aircraft, including commercial aircraft.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 11004 allows the Housing and Finance Authority to relocate communities, build new housing with public funds, designate areas to be abandoned, and establish new locations for populations.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 11005 allows the government to take over railroads, inland waterways and public storage facilities.

-EXECUTIVE ORDER 11049 assigns emergency preparedness function to federal departments and agencies, consolidating 21 operative Executive Orders issued over a fifteen year period.

THE RETURN OF BARACK OBAMA

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11051 specifies the responsibility of the Office of Emergency Planning and gives authorization to put all Executive Orders into effect in times of increased international tensions and economic or financial crisis.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11310 grants authority to the Department of Justice to enforce the plans set out in Executive Orders, to institute industrial support, to establish judicial and legislative liaison, to control all aliens, to operate penal and correctional institutions, and to advise and assist the President.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11921 allows the Federal Emergency Preparedness Agency to develop plans to establish control over the mechanisms of production and distribution, of energy sources, wages, salaries, credit and the flow of money in U.S. financial institution in any undefined national emergency. It also provides that when a state of emergency is declared by the President, Congress cannot review the action for six months.

Feel free to verify the "executive orders" at will... and these are just the major ones...



Watch Obama's actions, not his words! By his actions he will show you where America is headed. When it comes to Barack Obama, one of the most important things to understand is that he is a committed globalist. He firmly believes that more "global governance" (the elite don't like to use the term "global government") will make the world a much better place.

Throughout his time in the White House, Obama has consistently sought to strengthen international institutions such as the UN, the IMF, the World Bank and the WTO. At every turn, Obama has endeavored to more fully integrate America into the "global community".

Since he was elected, Obama has signed a whole host of new international economic agreements. He regularly speaks of the need for "cooperation" among global religions and he has hosted a wide variety of different religious celebrations at the White House.



Obama once stated that "all nations must come together to build a stronger global regime". If you do not want to live in a "global regime" that is just too bad. To globalists such as Obama, it is inevitable that the United States of America will be merged into the emerging global system.

Earlier this year, Obama issued a new executive order that seeks to "harmonize" U.S. economic regulations with the rest of the world. This new executive order is yet another incremental step that is pushing us closer to a North American Union and a one world economic system. Unfortunately, most Americans have absolutely no idea what is happening.

The American people need to understand that Barack Obama is constantly looking for ways to integrate the United States more deeply with the rest of the world. The globalization of the world economy has accelerated under Obama, and this latest executive order represents a fundamental change in U.S. economic policy. Now federal regulators will be required to "harmonize" their work with the international community. The following is how this new executive order was assessed in a Business Week article....

Obama's order provides a framework to organize scattered efforts to promote international regulatory cooperation, the chamber's top global regulatory official said today.

"Today's executive order marks a paradigm shift for U.S. regulators by directing them to take the international implications of their work into account in a consistent and comprehensive way," Sean Heather, vice president of the chamber's Center for Global Regulatory Cooperation, said in an e-mailed statement.

THE RETURN OF BARACK OBAMA

Members of the Obama administration are touting this as a way to "reduce regulation", but the truth is that this is much more about aligning ourselves with the rest of the world than anything else.

Obama's "Information Czar", Cass Sunstein, authored a piece in the Wall Street Journal on Monday in which he stressed the need to eliminate "unnecessary regulatory differences across nations" so that the United States can compete more effectively in our "interdependent global economy". The end result of this process will be that we will now do things much more like how the rest of the world does things....

In an interdependent global economy, diverse regulations can cause trouble for companies doing business across national boundaries. Unnecessary differences in countries' regulatory requirements can cost money, compromising economic growth and job creation. Think of divergent requirements for car headlights, or the labeling of food, or standards for container sizes.

Recognizing this, President Obama's Jobs Council has called for U.S. agencies to better align U.S. regulations with those of our major trading partners. And today the president is issuing an executive order, "Promoting International Regulatory Cooperation," with a simple goal: to promote exports, growth, and job creation by eliminating unnecessary regulatory differences across nations.

But a one world economic system is not going to arrive overnight. Initially, it is much more likely that there will be a very strong push toward North American integration first. The goal will be to shape North America into an integrated regional economic unit similar to the EU. Cass Sunstein discussed how this new executive order will affect North American integration.

The new Executive Order will build on work that is already underway. We have started close to home, with President Obama launching Regulatory Cooperation Councils with Prime Minister Harper of Canada and President Calderon of Mexico. The Councils are implementing work plans to eliminate or prevent the creation of unnecessary regulatory differences that adversely affect cross-border trade; to streamline regulatory requirements; and to promote greater certainty for the general public and businesses, particularly small- and medium-sized enterprises, in the regulation of food, pharmaceuticals, nanotechnology, and other areas. The United States and Canada released the United States-Canada Regulatory Cooperation Council (RCC) Joint Action Plan last December. In February, we announced the United States-Mexico High-Level Regulatory Cooperation Council (HLRCC) Work Plan.

Most Americans have absolutely no idea how far plans to integrate the United States, Canada and Mexico have advanced. Last year, Barack Obama signed an agreement to create a "North American security perimeter" and most Americans never even heard about it because the mainstream news networks almost entirely ignored it.



But this is exactly what the globalists want. They don't want people to become alarmed by these moves toward North American integration. In fact, a document uncovered by Wikileaks shows that those involved in the effort to integrate North America believe that an "incremental" approach is best. Apparently they believe that small moves toward integration are less likely to alarm the general population. The following is from an article that appeared in The National Post last year....

The integration of North America's economies would best be achieved through an "incremental" approach, according to a leaked U.S. diplomatic cable.

The cable, released through the WikiLeaks website and apparently written Jan. 28, 2005, discusses some of the obstacles surrounding the merger of the economies of Canada, the United States and Mexico in a fashion similar to the European Union.

"An incremental and pragmatic package of tasks for a new North American Initiative (NAI) will likely gain the most support among Canadian policymakers," the document said. "The economic payoff of the prospective North American initiative ... is available, but its size and timing are unpredictable, so it should not be oversold."



If the people of Canada, the United States and Mexico were told that there was a plan to merge all three economies, there might be massive protests to stop it, and the globalists do not want that.

THE RETURN OF BARACK OBAMA

Several years ago, the "Security and Prosperity Partnership Of North America" (SPP) that was being promoted by President George W. Bush started to generate quite a bit of negative publicity. That caused those seeking to integrate the economies of North America to back off for a little while.

But as an article by Jerome Corsi last year detailed, the eventual goal is to turn North America into another version of the eurozone. That includes a common currency for North America called the "amero"....

The SPP in the administration of President George W. Bush appeared designed to replicate the steps taken in Europe over a 50-year period following the end of World War II to transform an economic agreement under the European Common Market into a full-fledged regional government, operating as the European Union, with its own currency, the euro, functioning as the sole legitimate currency in what has become known as "the eurozone."

The concern under the SPP has been that the North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA, could be evolved into a regional government, the North American Union, with a regional currency, the amero, designed to replace the U.S. dollar, the Mexican peso and the Canadian dollar.



THE HIGH TECH PRESIDENT

New information published earlier this year by Politico confirms previously reported news stories indicating extensive spying on Americans by the Obama Administration including during the President's re-election campaign.

The Obama campaign employed over 150 "techies" who did nothing but "peel back the layers" of the lives of citizens. According to Politico these techies knew what citizens read, where they shopped, what kind of work they did and who they counted as friends. They even knew "who your mother voted for in the last election."

Obviously, such information was used by the Obama campaign to gain an advantage over the political opposition and provide the President with an inside track on citizens during an election year in this high-tech society.

Obama used the Internet to gain a significant advantage in 2008 over John McCain, whose campaign was widely viewed as lacking the high-tech skills necessary to mount a successful bid for the White House in the computer age.

But what if such information gathered on individual citizens is being used for much more than a mere campaign advantage? Significant evidence indicates that this is the case.

The Politico report states,

"The depth and breadth of the Obama campaign's 2012 digital operation — from data mining to online organizing — reaches so far beyond anything politics has ever seen, experts maintain, that it could impact the outcome of a close presidential election. It makes the president's much-heralded 2008 social media juggernaut — which raised half billion dollars and revolutionized politics — look like cavemen with stone tablets."

With such a statement in mind, it is important to consider the campaign's tactics within the context of a much broader process of information gathering on the part of multiple agencies within the federal government.

In February 2012 the Examiner published a series of news articles which indicated that the Obama White House and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) had contracted with General Dynamics to gather information on citizens.

THE RETURN OF BARACK OBAMA



OBAMA PUSHES ONLINE PRIVACY BILL

“AMERICAN CONSUMERS CAN’T WAIT ANY LONGER FOR CLEAR RULES OF THE ROAD THAT ENSURE THEIR PERSONAL INFORMATION IS SAFE ONLINE”

Bloomberg

Further, it was discovered in the course of the investigation that DHS had lied to Congress concerning the information it had gathered on Americans through covert spying techniques.

Given that DHS has nothing to do with a political campaign, it would be a stretch to claim that spying on Americans by the agency was part of a re-election bid.

But the process of trolling for information does not stop there. Another news report indicated that the Obama White House had used Facebook to spy on citizens, which resulted in the social networking site's admission that it had used its platform to snoop on its phone users' text messages.

The investigation further uncovered the stunning fact that Facebook funnelled the information it had gathered on citizens directly to the Obama White House.

These issues raise serious questions that go to the very heart of the nature of a free society. How many small violations of Constitutional rights does it take to qualify as a major attack on personal freedom? Is it acceptable for a political campaign to gather private information on citizens by spying on them? And if so, what is to prevent that political campaign from using such information in a

malevolent manner if it is successful in being elected to positions of significant power in the government?

Regarding DHS spying, what is the line that separates legitimate monitoring of suspected criminals from illegitimate snooping into the lives of private citizens who are not suspected of crimes? How does DHS plan to use this information?

DHS has already created a firestorm of controversy in the early days of the Obama Administration when the agency produced an internal memo stating that citizens who are involved in the Tea Party, exhibit a heightened respect for and concern about the American flag, express a conscientious adherence to the U.S. Constitution, believe in gun rights, adhere strictly to their religious convictions, and believe in limited government are "potential homegrown terrorists."